



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/775,285	09/775,285 02/01/2001		Kimberlee A. Kemble	6169-149	8255	
40987	7590	07/30/2004		EXAMINER		
AKERMAN	SENTE	ERFITT	NARAYANASWAMY, SINDYA			
P. O. BOX 3188 WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WESTFALM	BEACI	1, 1 E 33402-3108		2174		

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	OF					
Advisory Action	09/775,285	KEMBLE ET AL.	·					
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Sindya Narayanaswamy	2174						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ess					
THE REPLY FILED 10 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	ee MPEP					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:							
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without cancelNOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see	r reconsideration has been con <u>e attached</u> .	sidered but does NO	OT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) will not be entered or to will be rejected is provided be	o)⊠ will be entered low or appended.	and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:	PP PP A							
Claim(s) objected to:	BEST AVAILAB	LE COPY						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .		- 901 1						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	· / _	$\overline{}$					
10. Other:		4/						
BEST A	MAII ADI E CODY P	STEVEN SAX RHMARY EXAMINE	3					

٠. اخر

The amendment filed 5/10/2004 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection will be entered upon appeal, but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance. Contrary to the Applicant's arguments, the Examiner maintains that Gould does teach the method of presenting database query results (col. 4, line 49-67). When the system receives a user's speech (query) the CPU determines whether it is recognizable, and then proceeds to display the results (col. 6, lines 18-34).

BEST AVAILABLE COPY